Case 2:24-cr-00025-TLN Document 22 Filed 06/04/24 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JESSICA DELANEY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6		
7	United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:24-CR-0025 - TLN
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	V.	FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	AMERON POPE, DATE: June 6, 2024	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 6, 2024.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until June 20,	
22	2024, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between June 6, 2024, and June 20, 2024, under Local Code T4.	
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
24	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case
25	includes over 7000 pages of discovery, as well as audio recordings and video surveillance. All o	
26	this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection	
27	and copying.	
28	b) Counsel for defendant des	ires additional time to review the discovery materials,

Case 2:24-cr-00025-TLN Document 22 Filed 06/04/24 Page 2 of 3

consult with her client and to discuss potential resolution with her client.

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 6, 2024 to June 20, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\} 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 3, 2024

Dated: June 3, 2024

26

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

> /s/ JESSICA DELANEY JESSICA DELANEY Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ RACHELLE BARBOUR

RACHELLE BARBOUR Counsel for Defendant **CAMERON POPE**

Case 2:24-cr-00025-TLN Document 22 Filed 06/04/24 Page 3 of 3

ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 3rd day of June, 2024. Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge